

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE
October 4, 2002

IN RE:

**PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT
BETWEEN CITIZENS
COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OF
TENNESSEE, L.L.C. D/B/A FRONTIER
COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OF
TENNESSEE, L.L.C. AND ICG
COMMUNICATIONS, INC.**

DOCKET NO. 02-00897

**ORDER APPROVING
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT**

This matter came before Chairman Sara Kyle, Director Pat Miller, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on September 23, 2002 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of an interconnection agreement negotiated between Citizens Telecommunications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. d/b/a Frontier Communications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. and ICG Communications, Inc. filed on July 15, 2002.


Based upon the review of the agreement, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:


- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.
- 2) The agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the Citizens Communications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. d/b/a Frontier Communications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. service area.
- 3) The agreement is not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.
- 4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it “discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement” or if the implementation of the agreement “is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity.” Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d).¹ Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement is consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.
- 5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.
- 6) The agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.


¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)(Supp. 2001).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection agreement negotiated between Citizens Communications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. d/b/a Frontier Communications Company of Tennessee, L.L.C. and ICG Communications, Inc. is approved and is subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.


Sara Kyle, Chairman


Pat Miller, Director


Ron Jones, Director